G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 408-15

1915.

Serial Nos. 1-5

(Muzrai)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Part B for November

SUBJECT.

Archakships of Nandi Veerabhadra Swami and Gav
Veerabhadra ~~Swami~~ Swami on the Nandi hills in T
Chikkaballapur Taluk

To DC Kolar no 1205 dt 24-11-17
Forwards a copy of GO dismissing the appea
as the Govt see no reason to disturb the arrangeme
ordered by the Deputy Commissioner
no 1265-6 dt 30-11-17 to the appelants and
Respondents informing the above.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

K.R. Appadurai
Bor. VIII D. 26/11/18

R. S. 11j 1915

(1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore)

NOTES.

File No 408/1916

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Nandi Veerabhadra Swami and Gani Veerabhadra Devaru on the Nandi Hills in the Chikballapur Taluk.

From

The late Archak Basavayya.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 22.1.16 Received 24.1.16

Diary No. 1218	Enclos. 4	Spare Copies.	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that on the complaint of the Non-Brahmin of worship by a section of the villagers ill-disposed towards him, he has been removed from the hereditary post and produces a Mahazar from some others stating that he has been guilty in the conduct of his duties, and prays that on a perusal of the records connected with the case, he may be restored to his appointment.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal petition is duly stamped. The copy of the order (B 36-8-15) appealed against was delivered to the party on 23 11 1915 and the appeal petn is dated 22.1.1916 - It seems to be within time

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB - 20 000—8 8-14

(2

1218

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 408 of 1915.

Serial No.

–

However
The apltr has filed an affidavit giving reasons for delay in filing the appeal
A draft calling for report with records is herewith submitted.

MV(98)
23-2-1916

The delay caused by the Advocate's clerk in failing to present the appeal petition to Govt in time may be condoned and the appeal admitted.

24/2/16

apd
Dep
24/2

2 No 2071 –72 dy 1 3/16 d Dy Comr, Kolar for the above a detailed report in the matter, with entire connected records

Petition (no 408) from Mr C. Narayana Swamy Naidu, Advocate for the appellant Basayya of Vandi, praying that notice may be issued to him immediately and orders passed on his appeal.

The Dy. Comm's. report and the records connected with the case, have not been received as yet.

No 667 dy 8.9.16

A reminder is submitted

MV(98)
30-8-1916

Dmr
Dep
6.5.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

8D/18-8/70 000 –17 12 1,

1349

(3

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 406 of 1915

Serial No 3(4) ~~(47)~~

From, the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist
Letter no 1301 Dy 8.2.1917.

Reports that for reasons stated, the appellant Ba Sriah has been removed from the Archakship of the Temples, and that as desired by the Vakalari Pujari (Ranjamma), the post was conferred upon the respdt Mallikarjuna.

The case will be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases.

Submd.

W (44) 14-4-1917 14/4/17

Submd. with DSR notes to the Bch for appn

W (44) 20-6-17 20/6/17.

A full note called from the Vernacular records on the following points should be put up

Who were the Basavanda Archaks.

If Ranjamma, how did it come that and how is she related to appellant. If they are related as brother and sister, is there any truth in the allegation that the appellants' Ancestors were the original Archaks

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPI 70000 15121

(4

ND 1203—GPD—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 468 of 1915.

Serial No.

(5) Is it true that the respt is no relation of the family.

(6) Has the genuineness of the will of Ranjamma been enquired into

Lee
6 7.17

The barawardar Archak is one Ranjamma In her bill dated 4th July 1913, She says that She got the Archakship from her husband

29 B
20 C

(3) Attention is respectfully invited to Basappa's petition dt 6.10.1914 and the Genealogical tree produced by him - According to Basappa, one Mariappa Son of Veerana was the original pujari - Ranjamma is Mariappa's grand-Son Nandappa's widow - Mariappa's 2nd Son is Veerana, and the latter's father-son is Muddappa. Muddappa's Son is the apt Basappa. This relationship is denied by the respondents.

y

In his Ankume to the Nandi Shekdar dt 10.3.1915, the Amildar reports that the apt Basaiah and his father were doing Archak duties for a long time -

In her bill, Ranjamma says that the respts Dodda Mallaiah

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 1283—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 5.

Serial No.

is her Sister Somakka's Son and also her foster-Son (ತಾಯಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮಗ).

(6) The genuineness of the will which is an unregistered document, has been enquired into.

4 of the attesting witnesses of the will, have admitted in their Kyphiyat before the Taluk Amildar that they have signed the will produced before the Court, that they had asked Nanjamma to get it registered and that they do not know why it was not done so.

On a personal inspection, the Amildar reports on 12. 11. 1913, that he came to know from the villagers and Kulasthas (relatives) that Nanjamma got a will prepared in the presence of a Panchayat when she was in full possession of her senses (ತಾನು ಪೂರ್ಣ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಈ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಸಿದಳು). making over the Pujarike to Dodda Malliah - He further reports that Nanjamma died in the house of Doddamalliah who performed her obsequies.

The will is dated 4th July 1913 and Nanjamma died on 18th

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 408 of 1913.

Serial No.

July 1913 at the age of 60.
Cultivn.
W(S4)
7.7.17

1) Nanjamma is the Swadaadaa (vide copy of appts Stt before Amildar on 28.7.08.

2) Her brother in law and before him her father in law were archaks (vide her pet.ⁿ to the Asst 11.10.11).

3) She is described as 'Sadara atte' and 'Varase atte' by appt (vide appts pet.ⁿ to Asst 5.12.12 and appts statement on 28.7.08 respectively) She calls appt Kulastha (her pet.ⁿ 11.10.11)

4) Basappa's father must have been Punasta for Nanjamma also (vide appts Stt on 28.7.08)

5) Respondent is called Sister's Son (appts pet.ⁿ on 11.10.11) and Palahaputra (copy of so called will) Respondent calls Nanjamma 'Varase Dod Thayi' (Stt before Asst 30.9.14)

6) Witnesses speak to will but it is a question if an archakship can be willed away.

Seen)

7.7.17

Neither party can be said to desire any right from

MD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 408 of 191

Serial No.

The Hakdar Archaka. There seems to be no reason to disturb the Dt. Commr's arrangement

(Vt. member) Ca 9.7.17

Both the apltr and respts were present in person and the former was also represented by his Advocate Mr. C. Narayana Swami Naidu. The case heard and order reserved— 13/7/17 KS

3 From, Narasimhiah, D Seshagiri Rao and other residents of Nandi, Sultanpet and other Villages

Petition dt 16.7.1917.

Pray that the apltr Basviah be appointed as the Archaka of Nandi temples in preference to the respts Mallaiah.

The petition was presented to the First member. the member's order on the petition:— "this petition may be placed in the file" Attested to Submitted. Subd. KS. 19.7.17

2.92/21.7

MV(RM) 19.7.17 (First Member)

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

WD 1238—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

Order

The learned counsel for the Archbishop states in his memorandum of appeal that the Court can be said to have erred in establishing the relation which is said to exist between the Archbishop and the Church in question. The learned counsel for the appellant contends that the Archbishop is the head of the Church and that the Church is governed by the Archbishop in accordance with the Canons of the Church and the Constitution of the Church. The learned counsel for the appellant contends that the Archbishop is the head of the Church and that the Church is governed by the Archbishop in accordance with the Canons of the Church and the Constitution of the Church.

The learned counsel for the appellant contends that the Archbishop is the head of the Church and that the Church is governed by the Archbishop in accordance with the Canons of the Church and the Constitution of the Church.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed with costs.

13/11/17

Submitted with DS for approval

17-11-17 19.11.17

19.11.17.

4 No: 1205 dt 24.11.17: 3

Kolar, DS a Copy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1238—GPB—60 00 —1.12-16—S

d. Revenue
ecretariat.

File No. 40.8 of 191 S.

Serial No.	
	The appeal as it stands does not seem to disturb the arrangements ordered by the Bd Comr's —
5	No: 1265-6 dt 30.11.17, & the appeal of the Rajas;

NOTE—Contn. sheet

BEFORE

THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF

MYSORE - BANGALORE

Muzrai Revenue Appeal No of 1915-16.

No. 1218

19/5/16

SECTION Muzrai

FILE NO. 408-15

SERIAL NO. 1

Basayya, Archik of Nandi Veerabhadra-swamy, residing in the village of Nandi, Chikkaballapur Taluk — Appellant.

-vs-

Mallian, Sulthanpet, Chikkaballapur — Respondent

The appeallant abovenamed begs to appeal to this Hon'ble Court against the order dated, 24-8-15/30-8-15, on the file of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, on the following grounds -

1. The appellant's ancestors and then the appellant have been the archiks in the temple of Veerabhadraswami in the village of Nandi and Gavi Veerabhadraswamy on the Nandi Hills from generations to generations. (See the order of the Amildar of Chikballapur Taluk No.511 dated 10-3-15 to the Shaikdar of Nandi Hobli) and have been performing pooja &c, regularly and properly.

2. At the instance of the respondent an enemy of the appellant, a false report was sent by the Shaikdar of Nandi to the then Amildar of Chikkaballapur Mr. Mohammad Syed Sheriff Sahib, that the appellant was irregular in the pooja, in consequence of which he was suspended temporarily and the respondent was made to act in the appellant's place.

3. A year after the suspension, on the application of the appellant Mr. J. Ball, the Amildar of Chikkaballapur thoroughly investigated the case, held an enquiry in the said Nandi village and in accordance with the opinion of the villagers cancelled the suspension and reinstated the appellant. (See order of the Amildar of Chikkaballapur No. 511/10-3-15)

4. The respondent who was temporarily in charge of the temples appealed to the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar against the said order of the Amildar on 20-3-15 and produced with it a will got up for the occasion purporting to have been left by the late Nanjamma the sister of the appellant's father.

5. The Deputy Commissioner without giving notice of appeal to the appellant hereof and evidently not investigating the case properly by order No 152 dated 14-8-15/30-8-15 dismissed totally the appellant and appointed the respondent hereof in his place on the sole ground that the appellant was not regular in the worship.

6. The so-called Mahazirs on which the Deputy Commissioner relied for the irregularity of the appellant were made by the enemies of the appellant and were not signed by the respectable villagers.

7. The appellant herewith produces a Mahazir signed by the respectable residents of Nandi, Sultanpet and other neighbouring villages. This is signed by the Patels and all other respectable and responsible villagers who clearly say that the appellant

and his ancestors have been the worshippers from time immemorial and that the appellant himself should be reinstated and that the respondent should in no case be appointed in his place.

8. This Mahazir and the Amildar's reference to the villagers' opinion in his order referred to above falsify the Mahazirs relied on by the Deputy Commissioner.

9. The respondent is a thorough stranger who is not even a distant relative either to the Poojaries or to the said Nanjamma and he has no sort of right whatever to the office of poojarishio of the said temples.

10. Even granting that the appellant was irregular, his suspension for more than one year is quite an adequate punishment as is expressed in the said Amildar order

11. The total dismissal of the appellant for mere irregularity and replacing him by a thorough stranger is a punishment beyond all proportion and is quite unjust

12. As the appellant was depending solely upon the emoluments attached to the temples and has not been trained for or doing any other business except worship in these temples, this order of the Deputy Commissioner has deprived him of the only source of maintenance for him and if confirmed and given effect to it would reduce him to starvation and begary.

13. As the said respondent was temporarily appointed to act for the appellant during the latter's suspension he was not at all injured when the suspension was cancelled and he has no right of appeal whatever and the Deputy

Commissioner was not justified in allowing his appeal and passing orders upon it

14. The appellant therefore prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to set aside the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar and restore that of the Amildar of Chikkaballapur

15 This appeal could not be filed earlier for reasons stated in the affidavit

Bangalore.
29th Jan., 1916.
and 3rd December 1945

Appellant.

C Narayanaswamy Naidu

Advocate for Appellant.

29-1-16

(13

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 2071 Enc. 5.
Mus 405-18-2

The accompanying Petition Registered
No 1218 dated 22-1-16
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Basayya of Nandi,
Chickballapur Taluk appealing
against the Dy. Commr's.
order directing his removal
from the Pucharkship of
Nandi Veerabhandra Swamy
and Gavi Veerabhadra
Devaru on the Nandi
Hills,

is forwarded (with compliments) together with its enclosures,
to the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist,
for a detailed report together
with the entire records
connected with the Case.

By Order,

24/2

Asst for Rev Secretary.

24/2/16

BANGALORE,
1. 3. 1916.

P. T. O.

W D 882—GPB—1 000—10 11 15

2ಬಿಕೆ

ನಂ 2072 ದಿ 1. 3. 1916

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಹೆಚ್ ಬಚ್ಚಯ್ಯರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

~~ಕೋಲಾರ~~

ಗಂದಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಅಚ್ಚಕಟ್ಟಿನ ವಿವಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

ಗಂದಿ ವೀರಭದ್ರಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಮತ್ತು
ಬೆಳ್ಳಿದ ಮೇಲಣ ಕವಿ ವೀರಭದ್ರ
ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ
~~ಮಳೆಯಾಧಾರಿತ ಕೆರೆಯವರು~~ ಮತ್ತು
ಮಳೆಯಾಧಾರಿತ ಕೆರೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ

ಅಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ

~~ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ~~ ನೀವು ~~ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿಕೊಟ್ಟಂತೆ~~ 22-1-1916

ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಕೋಲಾರ ರವರ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು

ರವಾನೆ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

M/r

Secretary

M/r 2/16

Bracket 29/5 1st Rev. VII 1916.

408

19 16 19 17
SE No. Mudrai
FI No. 408-15
SERIAL No. 8

Before the Government of H.E.
the Maharaja of Mysore

Mudrai Rev: Appeal no: /1915-16.

Basavayya, archak of
Veerabhadraswamy,
Vanur, Chickballapur
taluk } Appellant.

B

Mallayya, residing
in the village of Sabbanpet } Respondents.
Chickballapur taluk.

The appellant above named
begs to state as follows:—

1. The above appeal was filed
on or about the 22.1.1916.

2. The appellant on his —
advocate Mr. C. Narayanaswamy Naidu
who filed the appeal has

yet received notice of the date of hearing

3. The appellant is put to serious inconvenience as he is deprived of his only means of livelihood.

4. The appellant therefore requests for immediate issue of notice & to pass orders on the appeal.

Bangalore
Dated 28/8/1946

by Narasimhaswamy Nair
Advocate for the Appellant.

Clerk,
Bangalore City

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19 5-16—S.

1917 1916-17

GEN. & PRIVY SECRETARIAT
VII
AUG 1917
1917

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
General Dept

Dated
Despatched
Received
General No. Ref HC 1301
No.
Enclosure No. File

1349
16 17
Mysore
608-15
3 (W)

Submits report with records re petition of Basaviah of Handi, appealing against this office order directing his removal from the archakship of Veerabhadraswami and another, on the

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Kolar District,

Dated Camp Oorgaum, the 8th February 1

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire, J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 2071/ Miz. 408-15-2 dated 1st March 1916, calling for a report on the petition of Basviah of Nandi appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order directing his removal from the Archakship of Nandi Veerabhadra Swami and Gavi Veerabhadra Swami on the Nandi hill, I have the honor to state that the temples referred to are Muzrai Institutions endowed with land inams and cash grant.

2. It was reported by the Amildar that the petitioner Basaviah was irregular in his duties, frequently absented himself from the station on tour to different places in the garb of a wandering mendicant, and that as a result, the numerous devotees who generally visit the temples were put to considerable inconvenience, not to speak of disappointment when they found the temple locked up. It was further reported that the petitioner had also appropriated part of the temple properties and besides pledged others for his personal benefit . Moreover, Nanjamma, the registered Archak of the temples, also complained that the petitioner had failed to maintain her in her old age as per their agreement.

3, On the above report Basaviah was directed by an order from this office to hand over charge of his ~~office~~ duties to Malliah who was spoken of well by the public and the devotees frequenting the temples. The claims of Malliah were further supported by Nanjamma, the registered Archak, on the ground of her having received help from Malliah during the period. The petitioner himself admits that he has failed to support the old woman; Nanjamma has also left a will bequeathing the temple duties to the respondent.

4. The entire file of vernacular correspondence bearing on the subject together with the petition received with the reference under reply are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sor,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

DIARY No. 18

17.18

SECTION Home

488-15

(17)

తేదీ 16-7-1917

Received
18/7/17

Home -
Kus Local - Imp
ac Local Govt Dte
Fmle. Letts

శ్రీమతి బళ్ళారి రాఘవాచారి గారి సతీమణి యగు వీరభద్రమ్మ యను నందిపట్టపు మేలటి వనవిహారి యగు భర్తకంద ఈకోల్డ సీరుజు చాంతి రువ, నంది, భూలక్ష్మీపేటి, రుప్పడి ల్యా మేండలంద స్థాం మన్నరు బరిపాలింపంత అడవాలి అర్జీన్:—

మేల్మంత దేవదాసీస్త్రీ కళాశాలలో ప్రవేశిన్చ బడ్డ ప్పుడు, ఈ రన మోదలు ఈ రన రండి శాంతరంధారు అవేక్ష రంగిన్చ్చారు.

ఈదేవదాసీనగళలో ది జిప్పేక్ చాంతరల్లిం దు నంబెమ్మానన్ను చుసాంలు శిక్షణద్ద రవ్వ.

ఈవరి నంబిమ్మణి వాంతరమ్మ చాంతరనూ అవేక్ష బుధవ్వనో ప్రవేశిరుత్తినే. ఈ బుధవ్వ తండేసి గంబెమ్మగూ మింఛాం అశ్రీనాంద చోళు. అల్లదే ఈ బుధవ్వ న తండేయు ఈనంబెమ్మగిమ్మో వణి దర్శనులు.

ఈ రుశ్రీనింద లో అవేక్ష బుధవ్వగూ ఈరన వంశశారంధవ్వ బంద ఈవ్యం చాంరిశేలవరన్న కూరు శ్రీ లాయ్తిగూ.

ఈన బరలావీవ్యం చాంరి యుంది నియమ శిశు వసులయ్యగ్గింబుగ్గూ మేల్మంతగళంబిమ్మగళం గి వసగళలి. ఆ ఇవగళం ఈశాం ధ్వంబంధ్వ లో ఇల్లి.

ఈవరి వసులయ్యగూ చుల్లాక్ష్మీపేటి శాం ధవ్వి గూ మోం దలు నిందలుం వాల్లాశారదల చిల్లవన వుంది సోం రిదాళ్ళ నే. ఈనలుం వాల్లాశాళ కర్తీయులు అయిద ఇల్లా గే. ఆదరంద ఇవగళం వీ శాం డువుదూ బాలువుదిల్ల లి. వాల్లాశారదల చిద్ద దేవదాసీస్త్రీ కళాశాలగళం ది యుంది బాలువుదిల్లి.

No: 2071
Judl. 408-15-2.

Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Official Memorandum

Dated Bangalore, 1st March 1915.

The accompanying petition — Registered No 1218 dated 22-1-15 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Bussayya of Nandi, Chickballapur Taluk appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's Order directing his removal from the Archakship of Nandi — Veerabhadra Swamy and Gavi Veerabhadra Swamy Devasthanam on the Nandi Hills, is forwarded with Compliments to get him with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, for a detailed report together with the entire records connected with the case.

By Order
(Sd) G. Srinivasamudiengar
for Secretary to Govt.
Revenue.

6243
Title

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, dated 25th March 1916.

Copy of the above together with its enclosure is forwarded to the Executive of Chickballapur for submission of a detailed report with the entire records connected with the case.

Sd/- Venkatarangaiya
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

27-3-16

Sd/- 15-4-16

Sd/- 18-4-16

Rd: 22-4-16
Mungrai
Sheet
1112/4

Before the Court of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore Bangalore

Mujarai appeal No: of 15 16

Basayya . . Appellant

Vs

Mallaya . . . Respondent

I Basayya, appellant, son of Muddappa, Lingayat aged 40 years Archak of Veeraba[illegible] Temple do hereby solemnly affirm & state as follows:—

On the 2nd December 1915 my advocate Sr: C. Narayanaswamy Naidu entrusted to me & this then clerk Sr: K.G Balakrishna Rao the memorandum of appeal in this appeal, the copy of the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar No: 152 dated 24.8.15 / 30.8.15 against which this appeal is filed, the Mohajar referred to in para 4 of the memo of appeal, Vakalat & Rs 4/- for stamps & asked us to file the appeal.

2. The said Balakrishna Rao took me to the Govt. Secretariat went inside & after sometime came back & informed me that he filed the appeal. I [illegible]

Mr. C Narayana Swamy Naidu & [illegible] that the appeal was filed

3 Seeing that no notice had been received either by me or by advocate as to the date of hearing of the appeal & on my informing them that I was not present when the appeal was filed yesterday, the sent for the clerk the said Balakrishnaraja & subjected him to a long cross-examination the latter was not able to give any satisfactory explanation but pleaded forgetfulness in consequence of which my advocate dismissed the clerk from service.

4 As I was doubting as to the presentation given filed the said Balakrishnaraja sent this morning to my advocate's house & the same filed on the 2nd December 1915

Bangalore
d/ 22/1/1916

Yours truly.

Kindly forgive me
S. Venkatesh
Sub. judge
Bangalore
22/1/16.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರವರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

19 15 — —19 16 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಅಪೀಲ್ ನಂಬರು.

ಅಪೀಲ್ ವಾದಿ. ಅಪೀಲ್ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಬಿನ್ ಮಾರ್ಫಿ — ಮಲ್ಲಾ ಮಾರ್ಫಿ —

ಅಪೀಲ್ ಪಿಟಿಷನರ್ ಮಾ ರಾ ಸಿ. ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿನ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗೆ.

ಅಪೀಲ್ ಪಿಟಿಷನರ್ — ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾನು ನನ್ನ ಸರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ದಾವಾ ಮಾಡಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಿತ್ರ ನವಕಳಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ ಮೊಹಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೆಮೊಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಸು ಅಥವಾ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ ಸಾಟಂಪು ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್ ಸಾಟಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತ್ತು ವಿಷಯ ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥ ವಜಾ ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ, ಈವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲೂ ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು) ರುಜು.

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷದಲು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

Advocate

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್ನಾಮ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

C Narayana Rao

Advocate for Appl.

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಟ್ಟೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಸ್ಟ್ರೀಟ್ ಪೇಪರ್ ಬಿಲ್ ನಂಬರ್ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಪ್ರಿಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇದೆ. 22-1

(G) 245 V

Before the Government of His Highness the

(32

nt of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 19 of 1916-17

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Basappa		Mallah.

Subject.

Appeal against order no. 152 Dy 24th August 1915 of the Dy. Commissioner, Kolar Distt, declining to register in the name of the appellant, the Archakship of Nandi Veerabhadra Swami and Gavi Veerabhadra Devara Temples on the Nandi Hills in the Chikkaballapur Taluk

Decision

The case was heard on 13th July 1917. Both the appellant and the respondent

GPB—500—12 3/13

the former was also represented
his Advocate Mr. C. Narayana
rani Naidu.

The karanvard of the archak-
ship stands in the name
of Ranjamma since deceased.
Neither party before the
Court can be said to have
succeeded in establishing
his respective right to succeed
to the "hak" in question.
The mere fact that the
appellant and his father
were permitted to worship
as sumasthas on behalf
of the registered karavandar
cannot entitle the appellant
to claim the Archakship
as his own sufficient cause
has been shown for his
removal.

The local authorities have,
on proper enquiry, made
the best arrangement possible
for the conduct of the worship

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

in - justice which there is no good reason to disturb or modify.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

Memo no 1205 S.

Memo no 1204-S.

Copy forwarded to the Dy Commr, Kolar Dist, with the vern. records received with his letter no. 1301 dt 8-2-1917

B.O.

20-11-17

(DR) Joint Secy.

am 19/11/17

19/11/17

PB—20,000—16-2-14

W D 2584—GPB—2,000—7 4 16

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

APPEAL No. 12 *OF* 1916-17.

APPELLANT. RESPONDENT.

Basappa. Halliah.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against Order No.152 dated 24th August 1915 of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, declining to register in the name of the appellant, the Archakship of Nandi Veerabhadra Swami and Javi Veerabhadra Devaru Temples on the Nandi Hills in the Chikballapur Taluq.

Decision.

The case was heard on 13th July 1917. Both the appellant and the respondent were present in person and the former was also represented by his Advocate Mr. C. Narayana Swami Naidu.

The baraward of the archakship stands in the name of Ranjamma since deceased. Neither party before the court can be said to have succeeded in establishing his respective right to succeed to the "hak" in question. The mere fact that the appellant and his father were permitted to worship as gumasthas on behalf of the registered baravarddar cannot entitle the appellant to claim the Archakship as his own. Sufficient cause has been shown for his removal.

The local authorities have, on proper enquiry, made the

best

best arrangement possible for the conduct of the worship in question which there is no good reason to disturb or modify.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

- - - -

Memo No. Dated,

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, with the vernacular records received with his letter No.1301, dated 8th February 1917.

By order,

Joint Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

MRN.3.

G.R.D. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

D(R)

Joint Secy

19/11/17

19/11/17

GPB—20,000—16-2-14